

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



July 20, 1999

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 99/00-06

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS
ALL COUNTY WELFARE FISCAL OFFICERS

SUBJECT: ALLOCATION FOR ADMINISTRATION OF THE SMALL
PROGRAMS BLOCK GRANT (SPBG) FISCAL YEAR (FY) 1999/00

As approved in the FY 1999/00 Budget Act, the amounts shown on Attachment I are your State General Fund (GF) allocations for administration of the SPBG. This attachment displays the State share for each of the three programs within the block grant: Adoption Assistance Program (AAP), Non-Medical Out-of-Home Care (NMOHC), and Staff Development (excluding California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids).

Attachment II provides you with a listing of all applicable program codes for the SPBG. The administrative expenditures charged against these program codes are tracked to your SPBG allocation.

The small programs administration funds are based on three separate methodologies specific to each program. AAP funds were allocated using twelve months of caseload data (calendar year 1998), provided by the Adoptions Initiative Bureau. The total number of cases was used to develop the individual county percentage to the statewide total. This percentage was then applied to the total appropriation for AAP. The allocation was adjusted to guarantee that each county receives a minimum of \$50 in State funds for administrative costs. Federally eligible AAP cases have a funding ratio of 50 percent Federal funds and 50 percent State funds. Non-Federally eligible cases are 100 percent State funded. Staff development for the AAP is 75 percent Federally funded and 25 percent State funded.

NMOHC was determined by using twelve months of expenditure data (calendar year 1998). County allocations were based on the percentage of expenditures to the statewide total with the percentage applied to the appropriation for NMOHC. An adjustment was made to ensure that each county receives a minimum allocation of \$50 in GF for administrative costs. The NMOHC program is 100 percent State funded.

Staff development was based on expenditure data and number of full-time equivalent (FTE) Eligibility Workers for a twelve-month period (calendar year 1998). The average county percentage from both expenditures and FTEs was applied to the appropriation for staff development. An adjustment was made to the allocation to fund the State share of costs for staff training among the 41 counties of the Inter County Policy and Planning Committee, which contracts with the University of California, Davis. The funding ratios for staff development vary with each program. The Federal funding is open-ended and continually available to compensate federally eligible administrative costs in the applicable components. Staff development administrative expenditures, which exceed the State share allocation, will require 100 percent county participation in the non-Federal share of costs. Costs in excess of the State share allocation will be transferred to county only share using State Use Only Code 299.

At year-end closeout, any statewide surplus in the SPBG will be redistributed to counties that exceed their State share allocation. If, after redistribution, a county deficit remains, surplus funds from that county's Non-Assistance Food Stamps allocation will be transferred to their SPBG allocation.

If you have any questions regarding this allocation, please contact your county program analyst at the Contracts and Financial Analysis Bureau at (916) 657-3806.

***Original Document Signed by
Douglas D. Park on 7/20/99***

DOUGLAS D. PARK, Chief
Financial Planning Branch

Attachments

c: CWDA

**SMALL PROGRAMS BLOCK GRANT
FY 1999/00 ALLOCATION**

Attachment I

COUNTY	STATE GENERAL FUND
Alameda	\$71,043
Alpine	\$6,715
Amador	\$6,024
Butte	\$28,340
Calaveras	\$10,823
Colusa	\$5,972
Contra Costa	\$57,867
Del Norte	\$12,140
El Dorado	\$19,325
Fresno	\$27,210
Glenn	\$15,625
Humboldt	\$25,388
Imperial	\$6,161
Inyo	\$7,930
Kern	\$77,166
Kings	\$18,300
Lake	\$29,244
Lassen	\$12,145
Los Angeles	\$445,550
Madera	\$25,881
Marin	\$15,700
Mariposa	\$8,747
Mendocino	\$11,790
Merced	\$31,745
Modoc	\$4,888
Mono	\$14,275
Monterey	\$52,079
Napa	\$18,455
Nevada	\$24,837
Orange	\$57,606
Placer	\$30,474
Plumas	\$7,899
Riverside	\$113,816
Sacramento	\$104,979
San Benito	\$11,765
San Bernardino	\$92,364
San Diego	\$133,073
San Francisco	\$62,875
San Joaquin	\$52,716
San Luis Obispo	\$22,001
San Mateo	\$37,567
Santa Barbara	\$13,738
Santa Clara	\$145,093
Santa Cruz	\$13,912
Shasta	\$24,359
Sierra	\$5,770
Siskiyou	\$18,217
Solono	\$34,551
Sonoma	\$33,295
Stanislaus	\$41,074
Sutter	\$10,508
Tehama	\$14,173
Trinity	\$10,751
Tulare	\$35,415
Tuolumne	\$15,049
Ventura	\$22,773
Yolo	\$59,617
Yuba	\$16,521
Total	\$2,333,316

Attachment II

SMALL PROGRAMS – FY 99/00

The state share of administration costs reported on the County Expense Claim (CEC) will be charged to the allocation as follows:

Staff Development Costs DFA 327.4

135 – SSI/SSP – Out-of-Home Care (OHC)
211 – Food Stamps Issuance
218 – NAFS-IEVS
230 – Adoptions Assistance IV-E
234 – FRAUD – NAFS AFIRM – LA County (PDQ Contract)
262 – U.S. Residency Project – NAFS
268 – SAVE Program – NAFS
275 – EFD/P – NAFS
310 – NAFS – Fraud
334 – FRAUD – NAFS AFIRM – LA County (PDQ Contract)
341 – EFD/P – NAFS (WFI)
343 – NAFS Eligibility
344 – NAFS Program Integrity
345 – Foster Care
347 – NAFS Quality Control
393 – FRAUD - PAFS AFIRM – LA County
611 – NAFS – Jail Match (SB 1556).
613 – Foster Care Eligibility – Voluntary Program

Program Costs DFA 327.5

135 – SSI/SSP – Out-of-Home Care (OHC)
230 – Adoptions Assistance IV-E